

Note: ^{This} Decision was changed see
memo dated 11/27/2000. DRG

163539



8/12/93

Note to Dennis:

From: Mary Latka

Re: Camden Municipal Wells Site

BACKGROUND: Site is a conglomeration of 6 wells/well fields contaminated with VOCs and some metals such as chromium and mercury. The wells are located in the northern part of Camden/Pennsauken. The site is just north of the Camden Radiation Sites. It is not logical to aggregate Camden Radiation with Camden Municipal because the nature of contamination at each differs. The last action at this site was a high priority SI on 12/81/89.

PROBLEM: The "site" as currently defined, is a target rather than a source. However, several PRPs have been identified, six of these are in various stages of the site assessment process, five of which are in either the PA/SI or NPL candidate database, one PRP is and NPL site (Swope Oil).

SOLUTION: I see three options.

1. Use the "A" qualifier and attach site to Swope Oil.

Potential problem is that groundwater is not being pumped/treated at Swope. The ROD only calls for source containment. We aren't guaranteed that the full extent of the groundwater contamination in all the Camden Municipal wells will be addressed.

2. NFRAP the site with the rationale that the site will be addressed through the six or source sources already in our system.

3. Give the site a LOW qualifier, with the rationale that the site will be addressed through the six or source sources already in our system.

I recommend option 2 or 3. I would do a memo to the Camden Municipal Wells file, and note that Camden Wells are targets to these other sites.

Make it an alias to all other sites.
as per Dennis Santella's Request.